

Remarks and Comments

The Office Action of 10/26/2009 Section 8, "Allowable Subject Matter," states, in part, "Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims."

To be responsive to this objection the current Amendment to Claims amends Claim 1 and incorporates limitation of Claim 2 into Claim 1, and cancels Claim 2. Thus, the Amendment to Claims has only one Claim.

We comment, below, on Sections 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7 of the subject Office Action, the Sections which contain additional Claim Objections and Rejections.

1. We respectfully propose that the prior art of Gonsalves et al. (US 4,309,602) does not anticipate Claim 1 as currently amended.
2. Claim 1 as currently amended corrects all the informalities in Section 2.
4. We respectfully propose that the prior art of Gonsalves et al. (US 4,309,602) does not anticipate Claim 1 as currently amended.
5. We respectfully propose that the prior art of Love et al. (US 6,107,617) does not anticipate Claim 1 as currently amended.
7. We respectfully propose that the prior art of Campbell et al. (US 6,910,770) does not anticipate Claim 1 as currently amended.